

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

GARY P. KATZ EXXONMOBIL UPSTREAM RESEARCH COMPANY PO BOX 2189

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

HOUSTON, TX 77252-2189		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
·		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	18 JAN 2005		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
2003UR016			See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. International filing date		(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US04/04334 13 February 2004 (13.0			01 April 2003 (01.04.2003)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC					
IPC(7): G01V 1/00 and US Cl.: 367/	43,46,47,48; 702/14,17				
Applicant EXXONMOBIL UPSTREAM RESEARCH COMAPNY					
EARONWOBLE OF OTHER MANAGEMENT COMMENT					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of	Basis of the opinion				
Box No. II Priority	Priority				
Box No. III Non-est	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of	Lack of unity of invention				
Box No. V Reasone applicate	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain	Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain	Certain defects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain	Certain observations on the international application				
2. FURTHER ACTION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US					
Commissioner for Patents	Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents Thomas Tarcza				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. 7	/		
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230					

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/04334

Box No	o. I Basis of this opinion
	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With claims	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additi	ional comments:

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)





WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/04334

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-46	YES		
Hovely (14)		NONE	NO		
			VEO		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES NO		
	Claims	NONE			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-46	YES		
industrial appropriate (***)	Claims	NONE	NO		
deconvolutoin filter based on the specifically claimed Claims 1-46 are novel based on the steps in independ c) specifying a desired seismic data processing impul spectrum of said impulse response taper to zero at a spectrum of the vibrator signature; d) computing a deconvolution filter from the ration of A search of the prior art did not show documents that	desired impledent claims 1 lise response, faster rate that of the desired at specify a designed specification.	cause the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest compulse response. and 46. Claims 1 and 46 have the steps of: whereing high and low frequency portions of an amplituan does the high and low frequency portions of an amplituing impulse response and the computed vibrator signature. Estired impulse response wherein the amplitude spectrum of the vibrator signature. The art also did not show ure based on a ratio with the computed vibrator signature.	de ude of this		





WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/04334

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: Claim 22 is objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or contents thereof: Claim 22 states that "the location of the detectors is selected form the group" when it is meant to read "selected from."					
Cidili 22 states that the location of the detectors is selected total the group when it is meant to read selected total.					
·					

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VII) (January 2004)